

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/875,401	06/05/2001	Mark W. Miles	005652.P001	7748	
8791 7	7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2674	)/	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>`</u>		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/875,401		MILES, MARK W.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	•	Jennifer T Ng	ıyen	2674	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence addi	19SS
A SHO THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>	s action is non- ince except for	formal matters, pro		nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers	wn from consid			
	·				
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) () of drawing(s) be he tion is required if	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	` '
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u></u> / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been re ts have been re prity documents u (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicati have been receive 7.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	tage
Attachment	• •	<u>بر</u>	Intendent Surre	(PTO 412)	
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date	4) [ 5) [ 6) [	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:		152)

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments, see request for reconsideration, filed 04/01/2004, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The final rejection, filed 12/29/2003, has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cok (Pub. No.: US 2002/0186209).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, referring to Figs. 10-13, Cok teaches a touch screen display comprising a pressure tolerant display including a plurality of interference modulation elements; and a touch screen (10) directly coupled to the display (49) [0029]-[0031].

Regarding claim 3, Cok further teaches the touch screen is a pressure sensitive touch screen [0031].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cok (Pub. No.: US 2002/0186209) in view of Credelle et al. (Pub. No.: US 2002/0181208).

Regarding claim 5, Cok differs from claim in that he does not specifically teach the display comprises: a substrate having a first surface and second surface; an array of the interference modulation elements fabricated on the first surface of the glass substrate; a seal coupled to the first surface of the glass surface; a packaging component coupled to the seal. However, Credelle teaches a substrate (900) having a first surface and second surface; an array of the interference modulation elements fabricated on the first surface of the glass substrate; a seal (809) coupled to the first surface of the glass surface; a packaging component (804) coupled to the seal (see Figure 8B and [0055]-[0060]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the display as taught by Credelle in the system of Cok in order to simplify the display, reduced weight and bulk of the device.

Regarding claim 7, Cok further teaches the touch screen (14) is directly coupled to the second surface (50) of the display (49) (Figs. 7 and 10).

Regarding claim 8, Cok further teaches a front surface element (120) coupled to the second surface (50) of the substrate (Figs. 7 and 10).

Regarding claim 9, Cok further teaches the touch screen (14) is directly coupled to the front surface element (120) (Figs. 7 and 10).

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

JNguyen 05/14/2004



